IPS/INS/091/2026-91

Institute of Policy Studies
Islamabad

November 17, 2026

IPS INSIGHTS
Perspectives On the Issues Shaping Policy

Ceding Donbas for NATO Membership: Assessing the U.S. Proposal for Ukraine

Dr. Tughral Yamin[1]

According to statements released by Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy's office on 14 November 2026, U.S. negotiators floated the idea of Ukraine withdrawing from the parts of the eastern Donbas region it still controls in exchange for joining NATO. While Washington has not officially confirmed this specific offer, U.S. envoys Jared Kushner and Steve Witkoff acknowledged that new ideas are being explored following recent trilateral peace talks in Abu Dhabi.

Details of the U.S. Proposal

- **The Core Question:** Following U.S.-brokered peace discussions in Abu Dhabi in mid-November 2026, Zelenskyy told journalists that U.S. envoys had asked him directly whether Ukraine would withdraw its remaining forces from Donbas in exchange for NATO membership.
- **Context of the Talks:** According to CNN, this marked the first time U.S. mediators explicitly linked territorial concessions to NATO accession. U.S. officials told BBC that many concepts remain under private discussion to end the conflict, though any final settlement would require mutual agreement.

Why Zelenskyy Rejected the Offer

President Zelenskyy rejected the premise of trading sovereign land for alliance membership, citing military, political, and diplomatic barriers:

- **Loss of Defensive Fortifications:** Zelenskyy explained that the Ukrainian-held areas of the Donetsk and Luhansk regions contain Kyiv's strongest defensive lines. Withdrawing from this industrial fortress would leave central Ukraine exposed to future Russian advances.
- **Skepticism of Russian Compliance:** Russian President Vladimir Putin has consistently declared Ukrainian NATO membership a strict "red line". Kremlin aides have also stated that Moscow will reject any deal allowing Ukraine into the alliance. Zelenskyy noted that Putin is actively trying to redraw European borders and would likely use a withdrawal from key cities like Kostiantynivka as a stepping stone to seize more territory.
- **Constitutional and Sovereign Principles:** Zelenskyy reiterated that an independent nation cannot simply surrender internationally recognized territory. He stated that any major compromise on land could only be considered if backed by a comprehensive package of legally binding security guarantees, reconstruction funding, and approval via a Ukrainian national referendum.

Evaluating the Decision

Whether rejecting the idea was the "correct"

1 Brig (r) Dr. Tughral Yamin is a Senior IPS Associate. He can be reached at tughral@ips.net.pk

call depends on competing strategic and geopolitical viewpoints held by analysts, policymakers, and the parties involved.

Arguments Supporting Zelenskyy's Rejection:

- **Strategic Vulnerability:** Military analysts note that ceding fortified cities in Donetsk would hand Russia strategic high ground without requiring Moscow to win it on the battlefield.
- **Unrealistic NATO Delivery:** Because NATO requires unanimous approval from all member states, and Russia has vowed never to accept Ukrainian membership, critics of the proposal argue Ukraine would be giving up tangible land for a diplomatic promise that might never materialize.
- **Rewarding Aggression:** European leaders and Kyiv argue that trading land for peace legitimizes border changes by force and encourages future Russian expansionism in Europe.

Arguments Favoring the U.S. Approach:

- **Ending Prolonged Attrition:** Proponents of exploring territorial compromises argue that after nearly four years of war, Ukraine faces severe manpower shortages, damaged energy infrastructure, and stalled front lines.
- **Securing Permanent Protection:** Supporters of the "land-for-NATO" concept contend that formal entry into the Western alliance, backed by Article 5 collective defense, is the only guarantee strong enough to permanently deter a future Russian invasion.
- **Testing Diplomatic Boundaries:** U.S. envoys, including Jared Kushner, have framed these discussions as necessary brainstorming to break a months-long diplomatic deadlock and find a framework that stops the fighting.