



IPS INSIGHTS

Perspectives On the Issues Shaping Policy

Navi Pillay and the Nobel Peace Prize: A Triumph for International Law

Dr. Tughral Yamin ¹

The 2026 Nobel Peace Prize has been awarded to Navanethem “Navi” Pillay, the distinguished South African jurist and human rights pioneer, for her efforts to promote peace and international law. The Norwegian Nobel Committee announced the award on 9 October 2026, recognizing a lifetime devoted to strengthening international justice and ensuring that those responsible for war crimes, crimes against humanity and genocide are held accountable.²

The decision is significant at a time when international law is under pressure from geopolitical rivalries, military interventions and the selective application of legal principles. Pillay’s recognition is not merely a tribute to an individual. It raises a fundamental question: can the international community build a world in which the powerful are subject to the same laws as everyone else?

The Nobel Committee has offered a clear answer in principle: Whether governments are prepared to act on that principle remains a different matter.

From Apartheid South Africa to International Justice

Born in Durban in 1941 into a family of Indian Tamil origin, Pillay grew up under

apartheid, a system that institutionalized racial discrimination and denied the majority population fundamental political and civil rights. Her early career as a lawyer was shaped by the struggle against this system. She defended anti-apartheid activists and challenged the discriminatory structures that governed South African society.

Her subsequent judicial appointments were historic. In 1995, following the end of apartheid, she became the first non-white woman appointed to South Africa’s High Court. Her career thereafter took her beyond national boundaries, into the emerging architecture of international criminal justice.

Pillay served as a judge and president of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR), later became a judge at the International Criminal Court (ICC), and served as United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights from 2008 to 2014. These positions placed her at the intersection of law, politics and the international community’s responsibility to protect human dignity.

Her work at the Rwanda tribunal was particularly consequential. The prosecution of sexual violence during the 1994 genocide helped establish that rape and other forms of sexual violence could constitute crimes against humanity and acts of genocide,

¹ Brig (r) Dr. Tughral Yamin is a Senior IPS Associate. He can be reached at tughral@ips.net.pk

² Norwegian Nobel Committee, “Announcement of the Nobel Peace Prize 2026,” Nobel Peace Prize, October 9, 2026, <https://www.nobelpeaceprize.org/articles/announcement-of-the-nobel-peace-prize-2026>

depending on the circumstances and the intent involved. This was an important development in the recognition of crimes that had too often been treated as incidental consequences of war rather than grave violations demanding accountability.³

Pillay's career demonstrates that international justice is not an abstract legal exercise. It is an attempt to give victims a voice, establish the truth and make perpetrators answer for their actions.

Why the International Criminal Court Matters

Established under the 1998 Rome Statute, the International Criminal Court is a permanent institution mandated to prosecute individuals for genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes and the crime of aggression, subject to the Court's jurisdictional requirements.⁴

Its central purpose is to combat impunity. National governments have the primary responsibility to investigate and prosecute international crimes. The ICC complements national systems when the conditions specified in the Rome Statute are met, including situations in which states are unwilling or unable genuinely to conduct proceedings.⁵

The principle is straightforward: political office, military rank and national power should not automatically confer immunity from accountability for the gravest crimes.

Yet the Court operates in an imperfect international system. It has no independent police force and depends heavily on states to execute arrest warrants and cooperate with investigations. Several powerful countries are not parties to the Rome Statute, while political pressure can impede enforcement even when legal proceedings advance.

The result is a persistent gap between the promise of international justice and its practical implementation.

Pillay's Nobel Prize draws attention to this contradiction. International law cannot maintain its credibility if governments support accountability when their adversaries are accused but resist it when scrutiny turns towards their own conduct or that of their allies.

The test of a rules-based international order is not whether the law can be invoked against the weak. It is whether its principles can be applied consistently, irrespective of the identity of the accused.

Gaza and The Significance of Pillay's Work

Pillay's recent leadership of the UN Independent International Commission of Inquiry on the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem, and Israel has brought her work into particularly sharp focus.

In September 2025, the commission concluded that Israeli authorities and security forces had committed genocide against Palestinians in Gaza. Its report identified four categories of genocidal acts and assessed evidence relating to the specific intent required under the Genocide Convention. The findings were the product of the commission's investigation and legal analysis, rather than a final judgment by an international court.⁶

Israel has strongly rejected the allegations and criticized the commission's conclusions. The distinction between an investigative commission's findings and a binding judicial determination is important. Nevertheless, such findings cannot be dismissed simply because they are politically contentious.

³ International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, *The Prosecutor v. Jean-Paul Akayesu*, Case No. ICTR-96-4-T, Judgment, September 2, 1998, <https://static.un.org/en/preventgenocide/rwanda/pdf/AKAYESU%20-%20JUDGEMENT.pdf>

⁴ Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, July 17, 1998, arts. 5, 12–13, and 17, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/Publications/Rome-Statute.pdf>

⁵ Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, July 17, 1998, arts. 27, 86, and 89, <https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/2024-05/Rome-Statute-eng.pdf>

⁶ United Nations, "Israel Has Committed Genocide in the Gaza Strip, UN Commission of Inquiry Finds," September 16, 2025, <https://www.un.org/unispal/document/israel-has-committed-genocide-in-the-gaza-strip-un-commission-finds-16sep25/>

They warrant serious examination against the evidence and the applicable law.

The Gaza question gives the Nobel recognition an unmistakable contemporary relevance. It highlights the difficulty of securing accountability when allegations concern a state supported by influential international partners.

It also raises a broader issue of consistency. International humanitarian law protects civilians, prohibits attacks directed at them and imposes obligations on parties to armed conflict. These rules are not suspended by the identity of the adversary, the stated objectives of a military campaign or the geopolitical interests of supporting powers.⁷

The commission's findings have intensified an already contentious international debate. The Nobel Committee, however, has recognized Pillay's wider career and contribution to international law, not simply one report or one conflict.

That distinction matters. The principle of accountability must apply to all parties responsible for violations, including crimes committed against Israeli civilians on 7 October 2023 and violations alleged or established in the subsequent war.

Justice cannot be credible if it becomes a vehicle for selective outrage.

The Nobel Prize and the Credibility of the United Nations

Pillay's recognition also invites reflection on the effectiveness of the United Nations. The organization has developed an extensive framework of international treaties, human rights instruments and judicial institutions. Yet its ability to prevent atrocities remains constrained by political divisions, especially within the Security Council.

The veto power of its permanent members can obstruct collective action even when grave humanitarian concerns are widely

acknowledged. Meanwhile, international courts and investigative mechanisms depend on cooperation from states whose political interests may conflict with their legal obligations.

This is not an argument for abandoning multilateral institutions. It is an argument for strengthening them.

A more credible international system requires states to respect judicial independence, cooperate with legitimate investigations, protect witnesses, implement lawful decisions and resist attempts to intimidate judges or investigators. It also requires international institutions to maintain rigorous evidentiary standards and apply legal principles impartially.

The Nobel Peace Prize cannot compel governments to change their behavior. It can, however, place international accountability at the center of public debate and remind the world that peace without justice may amount to little more than a temporary suspension of violence.

A Message for the Developing World

For countries across Asia, Africa and the Middle East, Pillay's career carries an additional message. The international legal order was shaped in a world marked by colonialism, racial hierarchy and unequal power. Many developing countries have long argued that international rules are not always applied equally.

Pillay's own journey, from confronting apartheid to serving at the highest levels of international justice, gives this concern a powerful human dimension.

Her award should encourage developing countries to strengthen their engagement with international law, support independent judicial institutions and pursue accountability through evidence-based legal processes. It should also remind them that respect for human rights and humanitarian law must

⁷ Geneva Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War, August 12, 1949, 75 U.N.T.S. 287; Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and Relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts (Protocol I), June 8, 1977, arts. 48 and 51, <https://ihl-databases.icrc.org/en/ihl-treaties/api-1977>

begin at home. A country cannot credibly demand justice abroad while denying it to its own citizens.

For Pakistan and other states of the Global South, the lesson is to defend the universality of international law without reducing it to a contest between political camps. Consistency, rather than selective allegiance, is the foundation of a credible legal position.

Peace Requires More Than the Absence of War

The Nobel Peace Prize is often associated with diplomatic breakthroughs, disarmament and the resolution of conflicts. Pillay's recognition emphasizes another dimension of peace: the establishment of a system in which those who commit grave crimes cannot assume that power will protect them indefinitely.

There is no guarantee that prosecution alone will prevent future atrocities. Peace also requires diplomacy, reconciliation, economic opportunity and the resolution of the grievances that fuel conflict. But where grave crimes go unaddressed, the victims' suffering can become a source of enduring resentment, and the prospects for reconciliation diminish.

Pillay's career illustrates the relationship between justice and peace. From apartheid

South Africa to international criminal tribunals and the United Nations, she has worked to establish that human dignity is not a privilege granted by governments. It is a principle that governments are obliged to respect.

The real significance of the 2026 Nobel Peace Prize will therefore depend on what follows the announcement. Will states cooperate with international courts even when the accused are politically powerful? Will allegations of atrocities be investigated impartially? Will the international community move beyond statements of concern towards meaningful accountability?

These are the questions that the award places before the world.

Navi Pillay has received recognition for a lifetime of work. The challenge now belongs to governments and international institutions. If the principles she has defended are applied consistently, the Nobel Prize may serve as more than an honor bestowed upon one distinguished jurist. It may become a reminder that lasting peace requires a world in which justice is not determined by power, and international law is not merely an instrument used against one's adversaries.

That remains an aspiration worth defending.



ips.org.pk | ipsurdu.com



InstituteOfPolicyStudiesPakistan



IPS_1979



IPS-Islamabad



IPSTV



info@ips.net.pk



051-8438391-3